

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. 7	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/646,505	0/646,505 08/22/2003		Ilya Reyzin	DP-309923	9673
22851	7590	01/18/2005		. EXAMINER	
DELPHI 7	rechno:	LOGIES, INC.	FLANIGAN, ALLEN J		
M/C 480-4 PO BOX 5				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TROY, M				3753	
				DATE MAILED: 01/18/2005	ξ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/646,505	REYZIN ET AL.					
riariou, riodon	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Allen J. Flanigan	3753					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover she t with the	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 20 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, the event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the company of the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in					
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: 14-19.							
Claim(s) objected to: 2-8 and 10-13.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 9</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		·					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:	G	Men Felangan					
		Allen J. Fl ^l anigan Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3753					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's comments are not commensurate in scope with the claims. Nothing in the claims prohibits the recited condenser and base plates being comprised of more than one layer (i.e. a solid layer and a wicking layer), nor does the claim prohibit the presence of an additional layer between the recited condenser plate and partition wall (the claim uses the word "comprising", which is nonexclusive). Claim 1 does not recite the partition being "directly attached to an imperforate lower surface of the condenser plate".